

UNITED STATES ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY REGION III 1650 Arch Street Philadelphia, Pennsylvania 19103-2029

September 24, 2010

The Honorable Christophe A. G. Tulou Director, District Department of the Environment 1200 First Street, NE, 7th Floor Washington, D.C. 20002

Dear Director Tulou:

Thank you for the submission of the District of Columbia's draft Phase I Watershed Implementation Plan (WIP) to the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) on September 1, 2010. I greatly appreciate the efforts of the District Department of the Environment (DDOE) and your colleagues in developing the WIP.

This letter outlines the process EPA used to evaluate the draft Phase I WIPs, as well as the methods used to develop the backstop allocations for the draft Total Maximum Daily Load (TMDL). It also includes the next steps needed to finalize the WIPs in preparation for the November 29, 2010 deadline.

Enclosed, please find a two-page summary of EPA's evaluation of the District of Columbia's WIP and the draft allocations that EPA is establishing in the District of Columbia to ensure that practices are in place by 2017 to achieve 60 percent of the necessary nutrient and sediment reductions, and by 2025 to meet the TMDL allocations. Achieving these restoration milestones will not be easy and requires the leadership and commitment from federal, state, and local governments within the Chesapeake Bay Watershed.

EPA conducted a rigorous evaluation of the seven Bay jurisdictions' draft WIP documents and input deck submissions. EPA used the WIP input deck to run jurisdictions' proposed practices through Scenario Builder and the Chesapeake Bay Watershed Model to project the nutrient and sediment loads that would be delivered to the Bay. For each major source sector, EPA evaluated whether proposed gap-filling strategies through 2017 met expectations; contained some deficiencies; contained serious deficiencies; or was inadequate compared to the expectations set forth in a November 4, 2009 letter to the Principals' Staff Committee and the April 2, 2010 Guide for EPA's Evaluation of Phase I Watershed Implementation Plans. Based on these expectations and model analysis, the WIP Evaluation Team asked whether:

- the draft WIP demonstrated that the jurisdiction would meet nitrogen, phosphorus and sediment allocations assigned on July 1, 2010 and August 13, 2010 at the jurisdiction-wide and major river basin scales;
- a major pollutant loading source sector had serious deficiencies within its gap-filling strategies to reach the 2017 Interim Target;
- the proposed wasteload allocations (WLAs) in the draft WIP could be achieved through implementation of a permitting program; and
- EPA's own assurance, based upon its Federal legal authorities, could ensure achievement of the backstopped point source reductions through enhanced program oversight, permit objections, compliance assurance, and enforcement actions.

The enclosed summary provides additional details supporting EPA's finding that the gap-filling strategies in the District of Columbia's WIP had some deficiencies and the numbers did not meet the District of Columbia's sediment allocations announced August 13.

In instances where WIPs do not achieve the basin-level nutrient and sediment allocations or where they do not provide assurance that the point and nonpoint source reductions could be achieved, EPA is establishing backstop allocations that replace proposed WLAs for point sources with more stringent or appropriate point source limits. Where possible, EPA is redistributing point source loads to nonpoint source sectors with insufficient reasonable assurance. EPA is assuming additional nonpoint source reductions as necessary to meet the July 1 and August 13 nutrient and sediment load allocations.

Based on our evaluation, EPA is establishing minor, moderate, or high level backstop allocations in all jurisdictions. EPA is establishing minor level backstop allocations in the draft TMDL in the District of Columbia because the jurisdiction did not submit an input deck that met the August 13 sediment allocations. Furthermore, the WIP did not demonstrate assurance that these reductions could be achieved and maintained through permitting and other programs. EPA expects the final WIP to include more detailed and aggressive strategies to address urban runoff, including key program-building and implementation milestones. EPA also expects a fuller accounting of permitted dischargers in the District and additional information on the District's draft MS4 permit.

EPA understands its responsibility as the permitting authority in the District to work directly with the District to address these shortfalls. I will also encourage my colleagues in other federal agencies to work with you to refine and, more importantly, implement elements of the District's final WIP. EPA is applying a minor backstop so that the District sediment WLA meets the upper range of the August 13 allocation announced August 13. EPA will ensure that all allocations, including sediment, are met through the NPDES permits issued within the District of Columbia. These assumptions and deficiencies highlighted in EPA's WIP evaluation are explained in the enclosure. I strongly encourage the District to continue working with EPA to address these concerns.

The DDOE, working with its sister agencies and the citizens of the District of Columbia, has the opportunity to strengthen the final Phase I WIPs due to EPA on November 29. At that point, EPA will determine if the backstop allocations can be removed or relaxed. Throughout this process, EPA staff will share best practices identified in the other WIPs and provide additional technical and programmatic assistance.

Mr. Jon Capacasa, Director of Region III's Water Protection Division, will be sending a more detailed document to you next week that further explains EPA's feedback on the District's WIP. In addition, my staff will be scheduling a half-day meeting with DDOE in next few weeks to discuss our evaluation, answer questions, and map a path forward to strengthen District's Phase I WIP and the final TMDL allocations.

EPA is also pleased to announce the beginning of the public review and comment period for the Bay TMDL starting today, September 24, 2010. EPA has established a public docket for this Notice under Docket ID No. EPA-R03-OW-2010-0736. The Draft Bay TMDL is available in the docket at http://www.regulations.gov. Assistance and tips for accessing the docket can be found at http://www.epa.gov/chesapeakebaytmdl. Comments will be accepted through http://www.epa.gov/chesapeakebaytmdl. Links to the jurisdictions' WIPs are available at http://www.epa.gov/chesapeakebaytmdl.

I look forward to our continued dialogue as we work toward a revised WIP and final TMDL. These past 25 years have shown us that although we have achieved successes in Bay restoration, violations to water quality standards persist that threaten this national treasure. Although the Bay TMDL and WIPs are just two of the many actions we are taking to restore and preserve the overall health of the Chesapeake Bay, our success in doing so is dependent upon these commitments.

Sincerely,

Shawn M. Garvin

Regional Administrator

Enclosure

cc:

Mr. Hamid Karimi

Ms. Diane Davis